

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
Stephen Karotkin (*pro hac vice*)  
(stephen.karotkin@weil.com)  
Ray C. Schrock, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)  
(ray.schrock@weil.com)  
Theodore E. Tsekerides (*pro hac vice*)  
(theodore.tsekerides@weil.com)  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10153-0119  
Tel: 212 310 8000  
Fax: 212 310 8007

KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP  
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)  
(tkeller@kellerbenvenutti.com)  
Peter J. Benvenutti (#60566)  
(pbenvenutti@kellerbenvenutti.com)  
Jane Kim (#298192)  
(jkim@kellerbenvenutti.com)  
650 California Street, Suite 1900  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
Tel: 415 496 6723  
Fax: 650 636 9251

*Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**In re:**

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

**PG&E CORPORATION,**

Chapter 11

**- and -**

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,**

**Debtors.**

**DEBTORS' MOTION PURSUANT TO FED.  
R. BANKR. P. 4001(d) TO APPROVE  
STIPULATION WITH CALIFORNIA  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION IN  
RESPECT OF CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS**

- ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation  
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
☒ Affects both Debtors

Date: June 26, 2019  
Time: 9:30 a.m. (Pacific Time)  
Place: United States Bankruptcy Court  
Courtroom 17, 16th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102

*\* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,  
No. 19-30088 (DM).*

**Objection Deadline:** June 19, 2019  
4:00 p.m. (Pacific Time)

1 PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “**Utility**”) as  
2 debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**”) in the above-  
3 captioned chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”), hereby submit this Motion (the “**Motion**”),  
4 pursuant to section 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), Rule  
5 4001(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”), and Rule  
6 4001-1 of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern  
7 District of California (the “**Bankruptcy Local Rules**”), for approval of an agreement with the  
8 California Public Utilities Commission (the “**Commission**,” together with PG&E, the “**Parties**”),  
9 as embodied in the *Stipulation Between Debtors and California Public Utilities Commission in*  
10 *Respect of Certain Proceedings* (the “**Stipulation**”), filed contemporaneously herewith, by  
11 issuance of an order in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “**Proposed Order**”).

12 In support of the Motion, the Debtors submit the Declaration of Theodore E.  
13 Tsekerides (the “**Tsekerides Declaration**”), filed contemporaneously herewith.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Bankruptcy Local Rule 5011-1(a). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

### II. BACKGROUND

On January 29, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors’ Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

On February 12, 2019, the United States Trustee (the “**U.S. Trustee**”) appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “**Creditors Committee**”). On February 15, 2019, the U.S. Trustee appointed an Official Committee of Tort Claimants (the “**Tort Claimants Committee**”) and, together with the Creditors Committee, the “**Committees**”).

Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors’ businesses and capital structure is set forth in the *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket No. 263] (the “**Wells Declaration**”).

### III. THE STIPULATION

California law empowers the Commission to do “all things . . . which are necessary and convenient” in the exercise of its regulatory power in respect of public utilities. Cal. Pub. Util. Code § 701. In carrying out its statutory duties, the Commission regularly oversees proceedings initiated by third parties (such parties, “**Commission Complainants**” and proceedings initiated by such parties, “**Commission Proceedings**”). From time to time, Commission Complainants lack the means to engage counsel to bring proceedings in these Chapter 11 Cases for relief from the Automatic Stay,

1 including certain Commission Complainants that are low-income customers eligible for PG&E's low-  
2 income rate or assistance programs. Commission Complainants sometimes initiate proceedings before  
3 the Commission to enforce public policy and safety, utility tariffs, administrative orders and  
4 regulations, including proceedings concerning matters arising before the Petition Date to compel the  
5 Utility to relocate overhead power lines, to restore utility services, effectuate Commission rules and  
6 regulations and utility tariffs or to issue reimbursements for certain remedial actions taken by  
7 ratepayers.

8           The Parties have determined that the commencement or continuation of certain  
9 Commission Proceedings, up to and including the determination of, but not the collection of, any  
10 money judgments in such proceedings, is in their best interests notwithstanding the Chapter 11 Cases.

11           Specifically, with respect to Commission Proceedings filed prior to entry of an order  
12 approving the Stipulation, the Parties have agreed that the Automatic Stay should be modified to allow  
13 the commencement or continuation of the relevant Commission Proceedings set forth on Exhibit A  
14 attached to the Stipulation, including the determination of, but not the collection of, any money  
15 judgments in such proceedings. With respect to Commission Proceedings by Commission  
16 Complainants of the type identified above that are accepted for filing by the Commission after the  
17 entry of an order approving the Stipulation, the Parties have agreed that, upon the expiration of the  
18 applicable Notice Period (as defined in the Stipulation) without objection by the Debtors, the  
19 Automatic Stay should be modified to allow the commencement or continuation of the relevant  
20 Commission Proceeding, including the determination of, but not the collection of, any money  
21 judgments in such proceedings. If the Debtors timely object to the commencement or continuation of  
22 such Commission Proceeding (by filing an objection in such Commission Proceeding within the  
23 applicable Notice Period), the Commission shall suspend such Commission Proceeding and the  
24 Debtors, the Commission, and the Commission Complainant, if applicable, shall meet and confer in a  
25 good faith effort to resolve whether such Commission Proceeding may continue before the  
26 Commission. If the parties are unable to reach agreement, then the stipulated modification of the  
27 Automatic Stay shall be inapplicable to such Commission Proceeding, and the Automatic Stay shall  
28

1 remain in place as to such Commission Proceeding.

2           The Parties have further agreed that the Automatic Stay should continue to apply to the  
3 allowance of claims, and to the enforcement of any money judgments awarded in any Commission  
4 Proceedings that are or become the subject of the Stipulation. Moreover, the Parties have agreed that  
5 nothing in the Stipulation should be deemed to modify or alter the requirements or obligations of any  
6 Commission Complainant to timely file a proof of claim in these Chapter 11 Cases in accordance with  
7 any order of the Court governing the filing of claims.

8 **IV. BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED**

9           Limited relief from the Automatic Stay as provided in the Stipulation is consistent with  
10 the provisions of section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code and standards for the statute's application as  
11 developed by the courts. Section 362(d)(1) authorizes the Court to modify or terminate the Automatic  
12 Stay for "cause," including the lack of adequate protection. "'Cause' for granting relief from stay has  
13 no clear definition and is determined on a case-by-case basis." *Christensen v. Tucson Estates, Inc. (In*  
14 *re Tucson Estates, Inc.)*, 912 F.2d 1162, 1166 (9th Cir. 1990) (citation omitted). Relief from the  
15 automatic stay may include terminating, annulling, modifying, or conditioning such stay. *Groshong v.*  
16 *Sapp (In re MILA, Inc.)*, 423 B.R. 537, 542 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2010) (citing *Mataya v. Kissinger (In re*  
17 *Kissinger)*, 72 F.3d 107, 108-09 (9th Cir. 1995)).

18           In determining whether cause exists to permit an action to proceed in a non-bankruptcy  
19 forum, courts analyze the twelve factors set forth in *In re Curtis*, 40 B.R. 795 (Bankr. D. Utah 1984).  
20 *See also In re Roger*, 539 B.R. 837, 844-45 (C.D. Cal. 2015); *In re Howrey LLP*, 492 B.R. 19, 24  
21 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2013); *In re Plumberex Specialties Prods., Inc.*, 311 B.R. 551, 559 (Bankr. C.D. Cal.  
22 2004). The twelve factors, commonly referred to as the *Curtis* factors, are:

- 23           1. Whether the relief will result in partial or complete resolution of issues;
- 24           2. The lack of any connection with or interference with the bankruptcy case;
- 25           3. Whether the foreign proceeding involves the debtor as a fiduciary;
- 26           4. Whether a specialized tribunal has been established to hear the particular cause of  
            action and whether the tribunal has expertise to hear such cases;
- 27           5. Whether the debtor's insurance carrier has assumed full financial responsibility for  
            defending the litigation;
- 28           6. Whether the action essentially involves third parties, and the debtor functions only as  
            a bailee or conduit for the goods or proceeds in question;

- 1 7. Whether the litigation in another forum would prejudice the interests of other
- 2 creditors, the creditors' committee and other interested parties;
- 3 8. Whether the judgment claim arising from the foreign action is subject to equitable
- 4 subordination;
- 5 9. Whether movant's success in the foreign proceeding would result in a judicial lien
- 6 avoidable by the debtor under section 522(f);
- 7 10. The interests of judicial economy and the expeditious and economical determination
- 8 of litigation for the parties;
- 9 11. Whether the foreign proceedings have progressed to a point where the parties are
- 10 prepared for trial;
- 11 12. The impact of the stay and the "balance of hurt."

12 *See In re Howrey LLP*, 492 B.R. at 24.

13 1. Many of the *Curtis* factors apply to the Commission Proceedings.

14 Allowing the Commission to adjudicate the Commission Proceedings will not prejudice the Debtors'

15 estates or other creditors, and will instead promote efficiency by saving the Debtors the effort and

16 expense of relitigating the claims of the Commission Complainants—involving highly specialized

17 regulatory issues—from scratch in the Bankruptcy Court through a contested claims process. The

18 Commission Proceedings involve the normal regulatory functions of the Commission. For that reason,

19 permitting the Commission to decide Commission Proceedings as provided in the Stipulation will

20 promote efficiency and economy and will not impose a material burden on the Debtors' reorganization

21 efforts. For these reasons, the Stipulation provides material benefits to the Debtors and their estates at

22 little cost, has been authorized by the Debtors in the exercise of their business judgment, and is in the

23 best interest of the Debtors and their estates.

24 Furthermore, without approval of the Stipulation, the Debtors (and the Court) could be

25 faced with seriatim motions for relief from the automatic stay by the Commission or the Commission

26 Complainants. Since the Commission Proceedings are alike in their procedure and subject matter, it is

27 in the best interests of all parties that the Court approve an umbrella procedure for relief from stay,

28 rather than having to determine whether each Commission Proceeding merits such relief.

Notably, the Stipulation would in practice be discretionary. Except for the Commission Proceedings listed on Exhibit A, the Stipulation provides the Debtors with unilateral objection rights through which the Debtors can prevent the application of the Stipulation, and in turn the modification

1 of the Automatic Stay pursuant to the Stipulation, as to any Commission Proceeding for which they  
2 believe the Automatic Stay should remain in place. If the Debtors do not agree to stay relief with  
3 respect to a particular Commission Proceeding, such proceeding will remain subject to the automatic  
4 stay—with the Commission Complainant’s recourse being to bring a new motion for stay relief in this  
5 Court. Under the Stipulation, questions of stay relief in matters where the Debtors believe stay relief  
6 should not be granted (because, for example, the burden on the estates would be undue or the matter  
7 raises issues that implicate significant bankruptcy policies or protections) would still be subject to this  
8 Court’s discretion. This Stipulation would provide the Debtors with this flexibility while sparing both  
9 the Court and the Parties the burden of repetitive formal requests for relief from stay or to approve  
10 agreements between the Parties for relief from stay.

11 **V. NOTICE**

12 Notice of motions to approve agreements to modify or terminate the Automatic Stay is  
13 governed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(d)(1)(C) and the *Second Amended Order Implementing Certain*  
14 *Notice and Case Management Procedures* entered by this Court on May 13, 2019 [Dkt No. 1996]  
15 (“**Case Management Order**”).

16 Notice of this Motion will be provided to (i) the Office of the United States Trustee for  
17 Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (ii) counsel to the Creditors  
18 Committee; (iii) counsel to Tort Claimants Committee; (iv) the Securities and Exchange Commission;  
19 (v) the Internal Revenue Service; (vi) the Office of the California Attorney General; (vii) the California  
20 Public Utilities Commission; (viii) the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; (ix) the Federal Energy  
21 Regulatory Commission; (x) the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of  
22 California; (xi) counsel for the agent under the Debtors’ debtor in possession financing facility; and  
23 (xii) those persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service  
24 pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required.

25 No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or  
26 any other court.  
27  
28

1 WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting the relief  
2 requested herein and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.  
3

4 Dated: June 5, 2019

**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**  
**KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP**

7  
8 /s/ Theodore E. Tsekerides

Theodore E. Tsekerides

*Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession*